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## 1. Introduction

TABLE 1: Zulu noun classes (https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/Appendix:Zulu_nouns)

| Singular classes |  |  |  | Plural classes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class | Usual meaning(s) | Prefix | Example nouns | Class | Usual meaning(s) | Prefix | Example nouns |
| 1 | person | umu-, um- | umuntu ("person"), umZulu ("Zulu person") | 2 | people | aba-, ab- (abe-) | abantu ("people") |
| 1a |  | u- | umama ("mother"), ubaba ("father") | 2a |  | 0- | omama ("mothers"), obaba ("fathers") |
| 3 | plant, body part, river | umu-, um- | umpentshisi ("peach tree"), umunwe ("finger") | 4 | plants, body parts, rivers | imi-, im- | imipentshisi ("peach trees"), iminwe ("fingers") |
| 3a |  | u- | udokotela ("doctor"), ushukela ("sugar") |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | fruit, body part, ethnicity/race (member), loanwords | ili-, i- | ipentshisi ("peach"), ikhanda ("head"), iNgisi ("English person") | 6 | fruits, body parts, ethnicity/race (collective), loanwords | ama- (ame-) | amapentshisi ("peaches"), amakhanda ("heads"), amaNgisi ("(the) English people") |
| 7 | object, body part, kind of person, custom/culture/language | isi-, is- | isitsha ("container, bowl"), isandla ("hand"), isidakwa ("alcoholic"), isiZulu ("Zulu culture, Zulu language") | 8 | objects, body parts, kinds of people | izi-, iz- | izitsha ("containers, bowls"), izandla ("hands"), izidakwa ("alcoholics") |
| 9 | animal | im-, in-, i- | inja ("dog") | 10 | animals | izim-, izin- | izinja ("dogs") |
| 11 | long/thin object | ulu-, u- | uphondo ("horn"), uthi ("stick") |  | long/thin objects |  | izimpondo ("horns"), izinti ("sticks") |
| 14 | abstract concept | ubu-, utsh- | ubuntu ("humanity"), utshwala ("beer") |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | infinitive | uku-, uk- | ukuhamba ("to walk") |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | relics, locatives, unspecified class | uku-, uk- | ukwindla ("autumn") |  |  |  |  |

Research question for an Afranaph project: What happens when you conjoin nouns from the same or different noun classes? How variable are the results, across languages?

Singular+singular: $[1+1],[3+3],[5+5],[7+7],[9+9],[1+3],[1+5],[1+7],[1+9] \ldots$
Plural+plural: $[2+2],[4+4],[6+6],[8+8],[10+10],[2+2],[2+6],[2+8],[2+10] \ldots$
Singular+plural; plural +singular [1+2], [1+4]...[2+1], [4+1]...
[+human]+[-human], vice-versa; [dimin]+[dimin] etc.
(1) $[\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{Y}]$ worked together/saw each other/collided/separated...

Initial results of my work on Xhosa: it depends on the classes of the conjuncts.
(2) Agreement with conjoined singulars in Xhosa
a. [-human] nouns: class 8 zi-.
b. [+human] nouns intrinsically class 7 or 9: zi-.
c. Nouns intrinsically class 1 or 1a, whatever their value for [+/-human]: class $2 b a-$.
d. [+human] nouns not in classes 7 , or 9 : class 2 ba-
(3) Agreement with conjoined, preverbal plural subjects: hierarchies of preference
(i) $2>4$ (order irrelevant)
(ii) 2>6 (order irrelevant)
(iii) First conjunct agreement >last conjunct agreement>default

Post-verbal subjects: first conjunct agreement is the only option
Proposal: a self-service questionnaire in which participants (i) compile lists of representative nouns for all classes and (ii) work through a set of questions with predicates like those in (1), and (iii) add extras like complementizer agreement, anaphora licensing...

Why this matters: the results bear on prominent theoretical issues including...
A. Competing analyses of Bantu noun class: Controversy on familiar pattern in (4), from Swahili
(4) a. m-toto/wa-toto 1-child/2-child 'child/ren'
b. $m-t i / m i-t i$
c. gari/ma-gari

3-tree/4-tree 5car/6-car 'tree/s' 'car/s'
d. n-dovu/n-dovu 9-elephant/10-elephant 'book/s'
-Paired classes are singulars/plurals of 5+ nominal genders: Carstens (1991), Corbett (1991), Corbett \& Mtenje (1987), Watkins (1937).
(5) Bantu Genders (Carstens 1991)

Gender A: stems of Classes $1 / 2$
Gender B: stems of Classes 3/4
Gender C: stems of Classes 5/6

Gender D: stems of Classes 7/8
Gender E: stems of Classes 9/10 Etc.
(6) Sample Spell-Out rules yielding Swahili Noun Class Prefixes

$\bullet$ Gender, hence class, is a feature of "little n" Ferrari (2005), Kihm (2005), Kramer (2015), Lecarme (2002); ns come in interpretable and uninterpretable gender varieties (Kramer 2015), accounting for coexistence of arbitrariness and strands of semantic consistency.
(7) Hypothetical little ns of classes 9/10 (= Carstens's Gender E), adapting Kramer 2015:253.
$n_{\mathrm{E} 1} \quad i$ [animal]
$n_{E 2}$ (default; for other members)
[in $n_{\text {E1 }}$ VDOVU] ndovu - 'elephant' (an animal)
[ $n_{\text {E2 } 2}$ VDIZI] ndizi - 'banana' (not an animal)
-Taraldsen et al (2018): no shared gender features underlie the singular/plural pairings; rather, class features are contributed by null nominal specifiers.
(8) Class prefixes are specifiers: Taraldsen et al (2018)


My pilot study of Xhosa agreement with conjuncts suggests that gender is a feature of $n$ and, as Kramer proposes, that ns vary in the interpretability of their gender features. But despite classspecific strands of semantic unity like those noted in Table $2^{\text {nd }}$ column, it seems that [+/-human] may be the sole interpretable content of Bantu gender/class.
B. Competing analyses of how conjunct agreement works (and hence the theory of agreement):

- Several (all?) existing proposals based on languages with masc/fem/neuter systems are incompatible with the Bantu data I have analyzed so far.
C. Analysis and significance of [+/- human] default agreements ba and zi: purely semantic (Corbett \& Mtenje 1987) or syntactic (my proposal, to be sketched out here)?


## Roadmap for this talk

-The [+/-human] complexities of Xhosa noun classes
-The challenge of conjoined singulars: $[3+3]$ and $[5+5]$ are anomalous in taking default agreement, leading to Taraldsen et al's proposal that sing/plural pairs do not share gender features
-The patterns of conjoined plurals: 4 and 6 are anomalous in a different way
-Hypothesis: $3 / 4$ and 5/6 are uninterpretable genders; the others have some interpretable [+/-human] flavors which impact the grammar of conjunction by prohibiting deletion of uns upon unsuccessful probing -- the route to obtaining "default agreement".

## 2. Xhosa noun classes

### 2.1 Classes 1 and 2: canonical [+human] classes ${ }^{1}$

u-m-ntwana/a-ba-ntwana
1-1-child/2-2-child 'child/ren'
c. u-m-dala/a-ba-dala
1-1-adult/2-2-adult 'adult/s'
b. u-m-fazi/a-ba-fazi 1-1-woman/2-2-woman 'woman/women'
d. u-m-ntu/a-ba-ntu
1-1-person/2-2-person 'person/people'

[^0]e. u-m-hlebi/a-ba-hlebi
1-1-gossip/2-2-gossip
'gossiper/s'
g. u-m-mi/a-be-mi
1-1-citizen/2-2-citizen
'citizen/s'
f. u-m-ongameli/a-b-ongameli 1-1-president/2-2-president 'president/s'
h. u-m-hleli/a-ba-hleli 1-1-editor/2-2-editor 'editor/s'
2.2 1a and 2a: mostly [+human] but some [-human], including all alphabet letters
(10) a. u-mama/oo-mama

1a-mother/2a-mother
'mother/s'
(11) a. u-lolilwe/oo-lolilwe

1a-train/2a-train
'train/s'
c. u-nomadukudwane/oo-nomadukudwane

1a-scorpion/2a-scorpion
'scorpion/s'
b. u-Loyiso/oo-Loyiso 1a-Loyiso/2a-Loyiso 'Loyiso/Loyiso and company'
b. u-matshini/oo-matshini 1a-machine/2a-machine machine/s'
d. u-nokala/oo-nokala 1a-crab/2a-crab 'crab/s'
(12) a. u-mati/oo-mati 1a-singleton/2a-pair '(one of) a pair'
b. u-senza/oo-senza

1a-squash/2a-squash 'squash'
c. u-bhaka/oo-bhaka 1a-rucksack/2a-rucksack 'rucksack/s'
(13) a. U-L om-nye u-se tafile-ni 1a-L1-one $\quad 1$ SA-LOC table-LOC 'One L is on the table.'
b. Oo-L a-ba-bini ba-se tafile-ni 2a-L 2-2-two 2SA-LOC table-LOC 'Two Ls are on the table.'
2.3 Classes 3 and 4: mostly [-human] (canonically branching) but a few [+human], stigmatized
a. u-m-thi/i-mi-thi
3-3-tree/4-4-tree 'tree/s'
c. u-m-hla/i-mi-hla
3-3-day/4-4-day
day/s
d. u-m-ba/i-mi-ba
3-3-issue/4-4-issue
'issues/s'
b. u-m-pesika/i-mi-pesika

3-3-peach/4-4-peach
'peach trees'
d. $\quad u-m-p u / i-m i-p u$

3-3-gun/4-4-gun
'gun/s'
e. u-mu-moya/i-mi-moya

3-3-wind/4-4-wind 'wind/s'
a. u-m-gewu/i-mi-gewu

3-3-criminal/4-4-criminal 'criminal/s'
c. u-m-lonji/i-mi-lonji

3-3-singer/4-4-singer
'singer/s' (rare)
b. u-m-Iwelwe/i-mi-Iwelwe

3-3-cripple/4-4-cripple 'cripple/s'
d. u-m-khovu/i-mi-khovu

3-3-goblin/4-4-goblin
'goblin/s' (also leprechaun or zombie)'
2.4 Classes 5 and 6: [-human], and many [+human]; some 6 s are not plurals of 5

| a. | i-khowa/a-ma-khowa <br> 5-mushroom/6-6-mushroom <br> 'mushroom/s' |
| :--- | :--- |
| c. | i-li-tye/a-ma-tye <br> 5-stone/6-stone |
|  | 'stone/s' |
| e. | i-khaya/a-ma-khaya <br> 5-home/6-6-home |
|  | 'home/es' |
| g. | i-li-fu/a-ma-fu |

b. i-li-so/a-me-hlo
5-eye/6-6-eye
'eye/s'
g. i-li-fu/a-ma-fu

5-5-cloud/6-6-cloud
'cloud/s'
d. i-gama/a-ma-gama 5-word/6-word 'word/s or name/s'
f. i-hobe/a-ma-hobe 5-dove/6-6-dove 'dove/s'
h. i-cici/a-ma-cici 5-earring/6-6-earring 'earring/s'
i. a-ma-nzi
j. i-si-ko/a-ma-siko 7-7-tradition/6-6-tradition 'traditions'
a. i-sela/a-ma-sela
b. i-butho/a-ma-butho 5-warrior/6-warrior 'warrior/s'
c. i-wele/a-ma-wele

5-twin/6-6twins
'twin/s'
d. i-khoboka/a-ma-khoboka 5-slave/6-6-slave 'slave/s'
e. i-qhawe/a-ma-qhawe

5-hero/6-6-hero
f. i-gqwetha/a-ma-gqwetha 'hero/es' (brave person, warrior) 'lawyer/s'
g. i-gqirha/a-ma-gqirha

5-healer/6-6-healer 'traditional healer/s'
i. i-gosa/a-ma-gosa

5-official/6-6-official 'official/s'
k. in-doda/a-ma-doda

9-9man/6-6-man
'man/men'
h. i-qina/a-ma-qina 5-middle.aged/6-6-middle.aged 'middle-aged person/people'
j. i-polisi/a-ma-polisi 5-police/6-6-police 'policeman/policemen'
I. u-m-Xhosa/a-ma-Xhosa

1-1-Xhosa/6-6-Xhosa
'Xhosa person/Xhosa people, nation'
2.5 Classes 7 and 8: many [-human] incl. all language names, and many [+human]
(18) a. i-si-Zulu

7-7-Zulu
'Zulu language'
b. i-si-hlahla/i-zi-hlahla
7-7-bush/8-8-bush
'bush/es' (also wrist/s)
c. i-s-andla/i-z-andla

7-7-hand/8-8-hands 'hand/s'
e. i-si-khakha/i-zi-khakha

7-7-skirt/8-8-skirt 'skirt/s'
g. i-si-dalwa/i-zi-dalwa

7-7-creature/8-8-creature 'creature/s'
(19)

c. i-si-caka/i-zi-caka

7-7-servant/8-8-servant
'servant/s'
e. i-si-thulu/i-zi-thulu

7-7-deaf.person/8-8-deaf.person
'deaf person/deaf people'
g. i-si-porho/i-zi-porho

7-7-ghost/8-8-ghost
'ghost/s'
i. i-s-anuse/i-z-anuse

7-7-diviner/8-8-diviner
'diviner/s’
d. i-nto/i-zi-nto

9-thing/8-8-things
'thing/s'
f. i-si-bane/i-zi-bane

7-7-lamp/8-8-lamp
'lamp/s'
h. i-si-qaqa

7-7-unconsciousness
'unconsciousness, blackout'
b. i-si-hlobo/i-zi-hlobo

7-7-acquaintance/8-8-acquaintance 'acquaintance/s or friend/s'
d. i-si-bhanxa/i-zi-bhanxa

7-7-fool/8-8-fool
'fool/s'
f. i-s-azi/i-z-azi

7-know-nom/8-8-know-nom
'expert/s, scientist/s'
h. i-si-tyebi/i-zi-tyebi

7-7-rich.person/8-8-rich.person
'rich person/people'
j. i-si-gulana/i-zi-gulana

7-7-patient/8-8-patient 'patient/s'
2.6 Classes 9 and 10: animals, miscellancy, humans, some pluralizing in 6
(20)
a. i-nja/i-zi-nja
9-dog/10-10-dog 'dog/s'
b. i-ndlovu/i-i-ndlovu
c. i-nkomo/i-i-nkomo
9-cow/10-10-cows
'cow/s'
e. i-ndawo/i-i-ndawo 9 -place/10-10-place
'place/es'
g. i-n-tloko/i-i-n-tloko
9-9-head/10-10-head 'head/s'
(21) a. In-tombi/i-in-tombi
9 -young.lady/10-10-young.lady 'young lady/ladies'
b. 9-elephant/10-10-elephant
'elephant/s'
d. i-ncwadi/i-i-ncwadi

9-book/10-10-books
'book/s'
f. i-ndaba/i-i-ndaba

9-piece.of.news/10-10-piece.of.news
'news item/s'
h. in-gonyama/i-in-gonyama

9-lion/10-10-lion
'lion/s'
b. in-gcali/i-in-gcali

9-expert/10-10-expert
'expert/s'
c. im-bongi/i-im-bongi 9-poet/10-10-poet 'poet or praise singer/s'
e. i-nkawu/i-in-kawu 9-albino/10-albino 'albino/s (derogatory)'
g. i-nkwekwe/a-ma-kwenkwe 9-boy/6-6-boys 'boy/s'
i. i-nkosikazi/a-ma-khosikazi 9-wife/6-6-wife 'wife/married lady/ies'
d. im-fama/i-im-fama

9-blind.person/10-10-blind.person 'healer/s'
f. in-gelosi/i-in-gelosi

9-angel/10-10-angel
'angel/s'
h. i-ndoda/a-ma-doda

9-man/6-6-man
'man/men'
j. i-nkosi/a-ma-khosi

9-king/6-6-king
'king/s or chief/s'
k. i-ntombazana/a-ma-ntombazana 9-girl/6-6-girl
'girl/s'
2.7 A note on agreement: not generally sensitive to the [+/-human] status of the controller, instead tracking its noun class.
(22) a. U-m-bhingqo u-se tafile-ni. 3-3-skirt $\overline{\text { SASA-LOC table-LOC }}$ 'The skirts are on the table.'
(23) a. I-mi-bhingqo i-se tafile-ni. 4-4-skirt 4SA-LOC table-LOC 'The skirts are on the table.'
(24) a. l-zi-tyebi zi-ya-sebenz-a. 8-8-rich 8SA-DISJ-work-FV 'The rich men are working.'
b. U-m-gewu $\quad \frac{u}{3}$-ya-sebenza.

3-3-criminal 3 SA-DISJ-work 'The criminal is working'
b. $\frac{\text { I-mi-gewu }}{4-4 \text {-criminal }} \frac{\text { i-sebenza }}{4 S A-w o r k} \begin{aligned} & \text { ndawonye. } \\ & \text { together }\end{aligned}$ 'The criminals work together'
b. I-zi-bane zi-se tafile-ni. 8-8-lamp 8SA-LOC table-LOC 'The lamps are on the table.'

## 3. Exceptional agreement with conjoined singulars

### 3.1 Cases where we might expect a default strategy to kick in

Agreement with conjoined nominals is typically taken from plural noun classes 8 and/or $10^{2}$ for non-humans and 2 for human-denoting nouns, regardless of their intrinsic classes (Corbett 1983, 1991, 2006, Corbett \& Mtenje 1987, De Vos \& Mitchley 2012, Diercks et al 2015, Givon 1970, Marten 2000, 2005, Roberts \& Wolontis 1974, Schadeberg 2001, Simango 2012, and Voeltz 1971, Mitchley 2015). Analyses: default or semantic agreement.
(25) a. Mbale na ka-temo v-a-sow-a.
[ciNsenga; Simango 2012: 178]
9.plate and 12-axe SC8-past-miss-fv
'The plate and the axe are missing.'

[^1]b. U-m-nqathe ne-qanda zi-se tafile-ni.
[Xhosa; Mitchely 2015:115]
3-carrot and.5-egg SC8/10-loc table-loc
'The carrot and the egg are on the table.'
c. U-mu-bírrá ní-í-ri-gena m-bí-siir:e
[Kuria; from Diercks et al 2015:31]
3-3-ball and-5-5-stone foc-SC8-disappear.pst.fv
'The ball and the stone disappeared.'
(26)

| a. | U-m-fundi | polisa | ya-baleka | [Xhosa; Mitchley 2015:115] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-1-student | and.5-pol | SC2-pres-run |  |  |
|  | 'The student and the policeman are running.' |  |  |  |  |


| b. | Li-doda na $m$-simbi | w-e-fwik-a | mailo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5-man and 1-girl | SC2-pst-arrive-fv |  |  |
| 'The man and the girl arrived yesterday.' |  |  |  |

c. Axi-kelema ni buchara vo vulavula. [Xitsonga; Mitchley 2015:65]
def-7-scoundrel and 9.bucher SC2.pres talk
'The scoundrel and the butcher are talking.'
(27) Default agreement rules for Bantu, commonly assumed:
a. If singular [+human] nouns are conjoined, agreement is class 2 ba-.
b. If singular [-human] nouns are conjoined, agreement is class 8 zi-.

### 3.2 Cases where we might expect regular plural agreement

Taraldsen et al (2018): gender approach to noun classes can't be right because conjoined singulars of the same class also take default agreement, rather than that of the corresponding plurals. Their conclusion: Bantu nouns have no intrinsic gender features. Each singular and each plural class is a distinct gender, added by a null N specifier.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { a. } \quad \text { U-m-bhingqo } \\ \text { 3-3-skirt } & \text { no-m-nqathe } \\ \text { and-3-3-carrot } & \text { zi/*i-se-tafile-ni. } \\ \text { SC8/*SC4-table-LOC }\end{array}$
'A skirt and a carrot are on the table.'
b. I-mi-bhingqo/i-mi-nqathe i-se-tafile-ni.

4-4-skirt/ 4-4-carrot SC4-table-LOC
'The skirts/carrots are on the table.'
(29) a. I-li-tye ne-qanda zi/*a-khataza i-n-taka. 5-5-stone and.5-egg SC8/*SC6-annoy 9-9-bird 'The stone and the egg annoy the bird.'
b. A-ma-tye/a-ma-qanda a/*zi-khataza i-n-taka 6-6-stone/6-6-egg SC6/*SC8-annoy 9-9-bird 'The stones/eggs annoy the bird'
a. U-m-gewu no-m-Iwelwe ba/*i-sebenza ndawonye.

3-3-criminal and.3-3-cripple SC2/*SC4-work together
'A criminal and a cripple are working together.'
b. I-mi-gewu /i-mi-Iwelwe i-sebenza ndawonye. 4-4-criminal/4-4-cripple SC4-work together 'The criminals/cripples work together'
(31) Class prefixes are specifiers: Taraldsen et al (2018)


Some silent NPs (those of classes 3 and 5) cannot combine with plural.

### 3.3 Parallels in languages with masc/fem/neuter gender (Corbett 1983, Boskovič 2009 a.o.)

 (32) and (33) are Slovenian; (34) is Serbo-Croatian(32) to drevo (neut) in gnezdo (neut) na njem mi bosta ostala (masc dual) v spominu. that tree and nest on it to-me will remain in memory *Jedno tele i jedno pašče su juče prodana. one calf.neut and one dog.neut are yesterday sold.pl.neut

b. *Juče su uništene jedna varošica i jedno selo. yesterday are destroyed.pl.fem one town.fem and one village.neut
c. Juče su uništeni jedna selo i jedno varošica. yesterday are destroyed.pl.masc one village.neut and one town.fem
d. Juče su uništeni jedna varošica i jedno selo. yesterday are destroyed.pl.masc one town.fem and one village.neut

Analysis of Boskovič (2009) (see also Marušič et al 2007): \&P is specified for number features only. When a uPhi probe on a head $H$ (such as the SC participle) probes \&P for number and gender values, it succeeds in obtaining the plural value alone.
(35)


Default/semantic resolution rules follow, whether the genders of conjuncts mismatch or match.

### 3.4 Vanilla cases: conjoined singulars taking regular plural agreement

(36) a. U-loliwe no-matshini ba-/*zi-se galaji-ni

1a-train and.1a-machine 2SA-/*8SA-LOC garage-LOC
'The train and the machine are in the garage.'
b. U-nomadukudwane no-nokala ba-/*zi-ya-tya.

1a-scorpion and.1a-crab 2SA/*8SA-DISJ-eat-FV
'The scorpion and the crab are eating.'
c. U-dyakalashe no-matshini ba-/*zi-se gadi-ni

1a-jackal and.1a-machine 2SA-/*8SA-LOC garden-LOC
'The jackal and the machine are in the garden.'

## a. U-L om-nye u-se tafile-ni <br> 1a-L1-one 1SA-LOC table-LOC <br> 'One L is on the table.'

b. U-L no-M $\frac{\text { ba-/ }}{2}$ zi-se $\quad$ tafile-ni

1a-L and.1a-M 2SA/*8SA-LOC table-LOC
'The $L$ and the $M$ are on the table.'
(38) a. l-s-anuse ne-s-angoma zi-sebenz-a ndawonye.

7-7-diviner and.7-7-healer $\overline{8} \mathrm{SA}$-work-FV together
'The diviner and the healer work together.'
b. I-si-tyebi ne-si-bhanxa zi-ya-sebenz-a.

7-7-rich and.7-7-fool 8SA-DISJ-work-FV
'The rich man and the fool are working.'
(39) I-n-dadi ne-n-tlebi zi-ya-cula.

9-9-swimmer and.9-9-gossip 8/10SA-/2SA-DISJ-sing-FV
'The swimmer and the gossip are singing.'
(40) Agreement with conjoined singulars in Xhosa
a. [-human] nouns: class 8 zi-.
b. [+human] nouns intrinsically class 7 or 9: zi-.
c. Nouns intrinsically class 1 or 1a, whatever their value for [+/-human]: class 2 ba-.
d. [+human] nouns not in classes 7 , or 9 : class 2 ba-

For Taraldsen et al, the vanilla cases indicate that some null specifiers can combine with plural. But having seen that 3 and 5 are special, we'll see next that 4 and 6 are too. I'll argue that this confirms shared gender features underlie singular/plural class pairings, and a "little $n$ " approach.

## 4. Adding conjoined plurals to the empirical picture

Eight speakers, fill-in-the blank task for preverbal [+human] plural conjoined DPs. Twenty combinations of class features $=160$ cases. Since class 8 and 10 agreement are indistinguishable, I considered the balance between LCA, FCA, and default on 144 cases.

FCA (first conjunct agreement) is generally preferred:
(41) A-ba-ntwana nee-n-tombi ba-ya-cula.
[2+10: FCA chosen by 8 out of 8 speakers]
2-2-children and.10-10-girls2SA-DISJ-sing-FV
'The children and the girls are singing.'
(42) a. l-in-tombi na-ba-ntwana zi/ba-ya-cul-a.
[10+2: FCA>LCA 5:3]
10-10-girls and.2-2-children 8SA/2SA-DISJ-sing-FV
'The young ladies and the children are singing.'
b. l-za-nuse $\begin{aligned} & \text { na-ba-ntwana } \quad \frac{\text { zi/ba-ya-cul-a. }}{8-8 \text {-mediums }} \text { and.2-2-children } \\ & \text { 8SA-DISJ-sing-FV }\end{aligned}$
'The young ladies and the children are singing.'
[8+2: FCA >LCA 6:2]
c. $\quad$-in-tombi na-ma-polisa $\quad \mathrm{zi} / \mathrm{a} / b a$-ya-cul-a.
[10+6: FCA>LCA \& default 6:1:1]
10-10-girls and.6-6-police $\quad 8 \mathrm{SA} / 6 \mathrm{sA} / 2 \mathrm{SA}-\mathrm{DISJ}$-sing-FV
'The young ladies and the policemen are singing.'
d. A-ma-gqirha ne-z-anuse a-sebenz-a ndawonye.
[6+8: FCA>default>LCA]
6-6-doctors and.8-8diviners6SA-work-FV together
'The traditional doctors and the diviners are working together.'
[results: FCA x 5, default x 1, FCA or default x1, LCA x 1]
LCA (last conjunct agreement) preferred if DP1 is class 4 or 6 , and DP2 is class 2:
(43) I-mi-gewu na-ba-ntwana ba/i/zi-ya-cul-a.
[LCA $>$ FCA \& [-human] default 5:2:1]
4-4-criminals and.2-2-children $2 \mathrm{SA} / 4 \mathrm{SA} / 8 \mathrm{SA}-\mathrm{DISJ}$-sing-FV
'The criminals and the children are singing.'
(44) A-ma-polisa na-ba-ntwana ba/i-ya-cul-a.
[LCA>FCA 6:2]
6-6-polisa and.2-2-children 2SA-DISJ-sing-FV
'The policemen and the children are singing.'
Most variable results: $[4+6]$ and $[6+4]$ :
(45) I-mi-gewu na-ma-gqirha __ -sebenz-a ndawonye. [default > FCA \& LCA]

4-4-criminals and.6-6-doctors -work-FV together
'The criminals and the traditional doctors are working together.'
[Results: FCA $\times 2$, LCA $\times 2$, default $\times 3$, default and FCA equally good $\times 1$ ]
(46) A-ma-gqirha ne-mi-gewu a-ya-sebenz-a. [FCA>default >LCA]

6-6-doctors and.4-4-criminals 6SA-DISJ-work-FV
'The traditional doctors and the criminals are working.'
[Results: FCA x 4, default x 3, FCA or LCA x 1]
Table 3: Agreement choices for mismatching [+human] plural conjuncts $\neq[8+10]$ and [10+8]

| FCA | LCA | FCA or LCA | Default | FCA or default | LCA or default |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 77 | 31 | 10 | 19 | 4 | 3 |

Table 4: Agreement choices for [+human] [4+n]

| FCA | LCA | FCA or LCA | Default | FCA or default | LCA or default |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 |

(47) Hierarchies of preference in agreement with conjoined, preverbal [+human] subjects:
(i) $2>4$
(ii) $2>6$
(iii) $\mathrm{FCA}>$ LCA>default

Post-verbal subjects: only FCA is possible.
(48) a. A-ya-cul-a a-ma-polisa nee-n-tombi.
$\overline{6}$ SA-DISJ-sing-FV 6-6-police and.10-10girls
'The policemen and the girls are singing.'
b. Zi-ya-cul-a i-in-tombi na-ma-polisa. 10sA-DISJ-sing-FV 10-10-girls and.6-6-police 'The girls and the policemen are singing.'
c. *Zi-ya-cul-a a-ma-polisa nee-n-tombi. 10sA-DISJ-sing-FV 6-6-police and.10-10girls [Intended: The policemen and the girls are singing.]
d. *A-ya-cul-a i-in-tombi na-ma-polisa.

6SA-DISJ-sing-FV 10-10-girls and.6-6-police
[Intended: The girls and the policemen are singing.]
e. *Ba-ya-cul-a i-in-tombi na-ma-polisa.

2SA-DISJ-sing-FV 10-10-girls and.6-6-police [Intended: The girls and the policemen are singing.]
f. *Ba-ya-cul-a a-ma-polisa nee-n-tombi. 2SA-DISJ-sing-FV 6-6-police and.10-10girls [Intended: The policemen and the girls are singing.]
(49)


Clearly, it is when \&P raises to preverbal position that other factors come into play (see Boskovič 2009 among others on this pattern in a range of languages).

Conjoined [-human] Ns: Recall: [3+3] and [5+5] take default zi. Plural classes 8, 10, and default being $z i$ for [-humans], 4 and 6 are of more interest.
(50) I-mi-nqathe na-ma-qanda i -/ba-/zi-se tafile-ni.
[default>FCA>LCA 4:2:1]
4-4-carrot and.6-6-egg 4SA/2SA/8SA-be 9-table-LOC
'The carrots and the eggs are on the table.'
(51) A-ma-qanda ne-mi-nqathe i-/ba-/zi-se tafile-ni.
[default>FCA>LCA 4:2:1]
6-6-egg and.4-4-carrot 4SA/2SA/8SA-be 9-table-LOC
'The eggs and the carrots are on the table.'
(52) a. I-mi-nqathe ne-zi-tya zi-se tafile-ni. [LCA/default: six speakers]

4-4-carrot and.10-10-plate 8SA-be 9-table-Loc
b. I-mi-nqathe ne-zi-tya i-se tafileni. [FCA: two speakers]

4-4-carrot and.10-10-plate 4SA-be 9-table-LOC
'The carrots and the plates are on the table.'
Postverbal subjects: FCA only, as with [+human] Ns.
(53) a. I-phel-ile i-mi-funo na-ma-qanda.

4SA-be.finished-DISJ 4-4-greens and.6-6-eggs
'The greens and the eggs are finished.'
b. A-phel-ile a-ma-qanda ne-mi-funo.

6SA-be.finished-DISJ 6-6-eggs and.4-4-greens
'The eggs and the greens are finished.'
c. *I-phel-ile a-ma-qandane-mi-funo.
d. *A-phel-ile i-mi-funo na-ma-qanda.
e. *ZZi-phel-ile a-ma-qanda ne-mi-funo.

## 5. Some hypotheses based on the Xhosa results

### 5.1 A proposal for default agreement with conjoined singulars of classes 3 and 5

Boskovič (2009): When probing of \&P fails to return a gender value, the probe tries DP1. If DP1 is plural, Multiple Agree yields successful valuation by DP1's gender feature.
(54) a

c.


PI
b.

d.

(55) a. A-ya-cul-a a-ma-polisa nee-n-tombi.

6SA-DISJ-sing-FV 6-6-police and.10-10girls
'The policemen and the girls are singing.'
b. l-za-nuse na-ba-ntwana zi-ya-cul-a.

8-8-mediums and.2-2-children 8SA-DISJ-sing-FV
'The young ladies and the children are singing.'
But if DP1 is singular, Multiple Agree fails. Unsuccessful probing deletes the DP's gender feature, if uninterpretable.
(56) $n$ s for Xhosa, reflecting conjunct agreement phenomena

| Classes $1 / 2$ = Carstens's Gender A: | $n_{\mathrm{A} 1}$ | $i$ [human] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $n_{\mathrm{A} 2}$ | (plain, for arbitrary members) |
| Classes 3/4 = Carstens's Gender B | $n_{\mathrm{B}}$ | (plain, for all members) |
| Classes 5/6 = Carstens's Gender C | $n_{\mathrm{C}}$ | (plain, for all members) |
| Classes 7/8 = Carstens's Gender D | $n_{\mathrm{D}}$ | $i[$-human] |
|  | $n_{\mathrm{D} 2}$ | $u$ (+human) |
| classes 9/10 = Carstens's Gender E | $n_{\mathrm{E} 1}$ | $i$ [-human] |
|  | $n_{\mathrm{E} 2}$ | $u$ (+human) |

(57) Internal structure of [+human] nouns in Xhosa outside of classes $1 / 2$ is stacked (see Kramer 2015 on Somali nouns that are masculine in singular but feminine in plural).
b. u-m-ntwana/a-ba-ntwana [in $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ VMNTWANA] $\quad \mathrm{A}=$ classes $1 / 2$

1-1-child/2-2-children 'child/ren'
c. i-butho/a-ma-butho [ $n_{\mathrm{C}}\left[\mathrm{in} n_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ VBUTHO $]$ ]
$\mathrm{C}=$ classes $5 / 6$
5-warrior/6-6-warrior 'warrior/s'
d. u-m-gewu/i-mi-gewu
$\left[n_{\mathrm{B}}\left[\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{VGEWU}\right]\right.$ ]
$B=$ classes $3 / 4$
3-3-criminal/4-4-criminal 'criminal/s'
(58) Internal structure of [-human] nouns in Xhosa works the same way:
a. i-si-tya/i-zi-tya
[ $i_{D}$ VTYA]
$D=$ classes $7 / 8$
7-7-plate/8-8-plate 'plate/s'
b. u-m-bhingqo/i-mi-bhinqo
[ $n_{B}\left[i_{\mathrm{D}}\right.$ VBHINGQO]]
[3/4 [7/8...
3-3-skirt/4-4-skirt
'skirt/s'
c. i-li-tye/a-ma-tye
$\left[n_{C}\left[i n_{A}\right.\right.$ VTYE $\left.]\right] \quad[5 / 6[7 / 8 \ldots$
5-5-stone/6-6-stone 'stone/s'



Unsuccessful probing due to singular/plural mismatch deletes uninterpretable gender of unagreeably singular DP1

This proposal might lead us to expect that only [+human] conjoined DPs of class 1 would control class 2 agreement, and only conjoined [-human] DPs of class 7 and 9 would control class 8 agreement, because they bear the interpretable flavors of $n s$. But as we have seen, that is not what happens. Rather, it appears that those genders which have no interpretable flavors are deletable, and the rest are not. It therefore seems that the deletion process does not have direct access to the semantics of $n s$. The identity of the gender, but not the [ $+/$-interpretable] status of a particular flavor of its $n$ is what the deletion process can detect.

### 5.2 Extending the analysis to conjunct agreement with plurals

(60) Hierarchies of preference with conjoined, preverbal [+human] subjects:
(i) $2>4$
(ii) $2>6$
(iii) $\mathrm{FCA}>$ LCA $>$ default
(61) I-mi-gewu na-ba-ntwana ba/i/zi-ya-cul-a.
[LCA>FCA \& [-human] default 5:2:1]
4-4-criminals and.2-2-children $2 \mathrm{SA} / 4 \mathrm{SA} / 8 \mathrm{SA}-\mathrm{DISJ}$-sing-FV
'The criminals and the children are singing.'
(62) A-ma-polisa na-ba-ntwana ba/i-ya-cul-a.
[LCA>FCA 6:2] 6-6-polisa and.2-2-children 2SA-DISJ-sing-FV 'The policemen and the children are singing.'

Adapting Boskovič (2009), for \&P to raise and satisfy EPP, the whole of it must be probed: \&P, DP1, DP2. If uninterpretable gender of a plural DP1 is deleted upon probing, $H$ can agree overtly with DP2.

Remaining puzzles: The above statement is deliberately weak. It makes deletion of DP1's gender optional, since FCA with class 6 routinely succeeds, though not where DP2 is class 2. And inconsistently, class 4 FCA is always dispreferred.
(63) I-mi-gewu ne-en-tombi _-ya-cul-a.
[default \& LCA > FCA]
4-4-criminals and.10-10-girls DISJ-sing-FV
'The criminals and the young ladies are singing.'
[Results: FCA x 2, LCA x 3, default x3]
(64) a. A-ma-gqirha ne-z-anuse a-sebenz-a ndawonye.
[FCA>default>LCA] 6-6-doctors and.8-8diviners $\overline{6}$ SA-work-FV together 'The traditional doctors and the diviners are working together.' [results: FCA x 5, default x 1, FCA or default x1, LCA $\times 1$ ]
b. A-ma-polisa ne-en-tombi a-ya-cul-a.
[FCA>LCA>default]
6-6-police and.10-10-girls 6SA-DISJ-sing-FV 'The policemen and the young ladies are singing.' [results: FCA x 4, LCA x 2, FCA or LCA x 1, default x 1]
(65) I-mi-nqathe na-ma-qanda i-/ba-/zi-se tafile-ni.
[default> $\boldsymbol{F C A}>L C A$ 4:2:1]
4-4-carrot and.6-6-egg 4SA/2SA/8SA-be 9-table-LOC
'The carrots and the eggs are on the table.'
(66) A-ma-qanda ne-mi-nqathe $\mathrm{i}-/ \mathrm{ba}-/ z i$-se tafile-ni.
[default> $\boldsymbol{F C A}>L C A$ 4:2:1]
6-6-egg and.4-4-carrot 4SA/2SA/8sA-be 9-table-LOC
'The eggs and the carrots are on the table.'
Possibilities: (i) the 6 vs. 4 difference for [+humans] may be connected with the fact that many [+human] nouns of different singular classes have plurals in class 6 , suggesting there is a class 6 (gender C plural) zero-affix meaning 'group'. Somehow this shields class 6 first conjuncts from preferential deletion, though why it doesn't extend to [6+2] is unclear! Perhaps increasing the number of class 6 nouns and being careful about their singulars will provide some insight?

How to produce formally the result that 4 and 6 FCA are completely passed over for class 2. A brute force alternative is impoverishment to reduce multiple gender features on a head, transferred by Multiple Agree to either \& itself or T, with hierarchical relations/orders intact:
(67) a.

by HoP (ii) $2>6$
by HoP (iii) FCA>LCA>default


b. $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\text {tphi }} \rightarrow$

c. Tuphi

$\rightarrow \quad \mathrm{d}$


An approach like this is particular to plurals, so doesn't capture the fact that the singular counterparts to 4 and 6 are also anomalous in their behavior, when conjoined.

### 5.3 Summary

Under the analysis of Taraldsen et al, the differences between [3+3] and [5+5] on the one hand, and $[7+7],[1+1]$, and $[9+9]$ on the other, is that the classifier/specifiers of class 3 and 5 nouns cannot combine with plural. This section demonstrated that first conjuncts of plural classes 4 and 6 fail to control first conjunct agreement in key instances, in contrast with plural classes 2, 8 , and 10 . Treating $3 / 4$ as a single gender, and $5 / 6$ as another, both exceptional in lacking interpretable flavors, provides hope of a unified account of the atypical behavior of these singular/plural pairings.

## 6. Further questions (for appropriate languages)

[a student and a policeman] said Agr-that the thief had escaped. (mismatched)
[a diviner and a healer] said Agr-that the thief had escaped. (i.e. 7+7)
[students and policemen] said Agr-that the thief had escaped. (mismatched plural)
[a student and a policeman] caught the thief Agr-how?
[trains and lamps] collided how?
[The policemen and the students] insulted each other.
[+human] \& [-human] and vice versa (across classes)
[singular] + [plural] and vice versa (across classes)
Relevance of the particular predicate and/or nominal
Etc.

## 7. Other approaches to conjunct agreement

Boskovič (2009): ugender of DP1 has to delete for \&P to raise, yielding no choice but LCA in many cases of SV... order. This prediction has been falsified in various studies of Slavic languages, but comes close for Xhosa under the assumptions I've introduced about the classes.

Distributed Agree: Marušič et al (2015), Marušič \& Nevins (to appear): agreement applies in two stages, Agree Link and Agree Copy. Agree Copy before linearization $\rightarrow$ highest conjunct agreement; Agree Copy after linearization $\rightarrow$ closest conjunct agreement because the structure is flattened out.
(69) Agree-Copy before linearization: default gender or agreement with DP1, regardless of word order, because what's visible to syntax is hierarchical structure


(70) Agree-Copy after linearization: default gender or closest conjunct agreement, because hierarchical structure ceases to be visible
a. Huphi DP1 \& DP2
FCA with post-verbal subject
b. DP1 \& DP2 HyPhi
LCA with post-verbal subject

To capture the Xhosa hierarchies of preference for preverbal conjuncts would require massive look-ahead (hmm, the second conjunct is class 2, I better wait and do Agree Copy after linearizing).

Murphy \& Puškar (2018): The head \& can in principle obtain multiple gender values from its conjuncts by Agreeing with them, but which values it acquires depends on the order of application among the operations Merge, Agree Up, and Agree Down.

Agree Up>Merge>Agree Down: Agree Up applies vacuously before the first conjunct is present. Agree Down will successfully give \&P the gender feature of its second (lower) conjunct, so the result must be LCA.

Agree Down>Merge>Agree Up, Agree Down is vacuous, but Agree Up successful. Hence \& has features of the first conjunct and agreement must be FCA.

Like Distributed Agree, this provides no handle on the way both conjuncts' gender features impact agreement with conjuncts in Xhosa.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ While many class $1 / 2$ nouns ending in $-i$ are deverbal, it is not a hard and fast rule that such nouns appear in these classes if [+human]. For example, the term for 'expert/scientist' is class $7 / 8 i-s-a z-i / i-z-a z i$, derived from $u k w a z a$ - 'to know'. Two terms for 'singer' are class $1 / 2 u-m-c u l-i / a-b a-c u l-i$ and class $9 / 10 \mathrm{im}-\mathrm{vum}-\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{im}-$ vum-i, derived from two verbs 'to sing' ukucula and ukuvuma.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Class 8 and 10 AGR are homophonous in Xhosa; hereafter for simplicity I gloss the prefix class 8.

